

The 1st January, 1973

No. 110/B(SA) Posting.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to post Shri Budh Ram, I. P. S., Additional Suptt. of Police, Rohtak, as Superintendent of Police, Sonapat, the newly created district, where he assumed the charge of his duties on the forenoon of 22nd December, 1972.

J. C. VACHHER,  
Joint Secretary.

### LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 1st January, 1973

No. 13808-4Lab-72/168.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following Award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M's Oswal Electricals, 49, Industrial Area, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 65 of 1972

between

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S OSWAL ELECTRICALS, 49,  
INDUSTRIAL AREA, FARIDABAD.

Present :

Shri Krishan Lal Kalra, concerned workman.

Shri D. C. Bhardwaj, for the management.

### AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/72/39484, dated 13th November, 1972 by the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management of M/s Oswal Electricals, 49, Industrial Area, Faridabad and its workman Shri Krishan Lal Kalra was referred for adjudication to this Tribunal in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Krishan Lal Kalra was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the order of reference, usual notices were given to the parties and they have appeared. It is, however, not necessary to go into the merits of the case as an amicable settlement has been arrived at between the parties as per the terms and conditions given in the Memorandum of settlement, dated 8th December, 1972 Ex. M-1, and the workman has already received payment of Rs. 6833.25,—vide vouchers Ex. M-2 and Ex. M-3, in full and final settlement of his entire claims against the management, giving up his right of reinstatement or re-employment.

In view of the above, a no dispute award is given as desired by the parties. No order as to costs.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,

Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Dated, the 21st December, 1972.

No. 1425, dated the 21st December, 1972.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,

Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Dated, the 21st December, 1972.

No. 13301-4Lab-72/170.—In pursuance of the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award

of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Ganga Silicate & Chemicals, G.T. Road, Karnal.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No 47 of 1972

between

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. GANGA SILICATE AND CHEMICALS,  
G. T. ROAD, KARNAL

Present :

Shri Mukand Lal, for the workman.

Shri Surinder Kaushal, for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/KNL/164-A-72/30931, dated 7th August, 1972 of the Governor of Haryana the following disputes between the management of M/s Ganga Silicate & Chemicals, G.T. Road, Karnal were referred for adjudication to this Tribunal in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

- (1) Whether the workers are entitled to the grant of Dearness Allowance? If so; with what details?
- (2) Whether Protective clothings should be supplied to the workers? If so; with what details?

On receipt of the order of reference, usual notices were given to the parties. The concerned workmen have not filed any statement of claim to pursue the aforesaid demands. The plea taken on behalf of the management is that, as a matter of fact, an amicable settlement has been arrived at between the parties and there is now no dispute left. This has been admitted by Shri Sat Pal one of the 8 workmen in all working in the factory at present. He has proved the application Ex. W-1, thumb-marked by Sarv-shri Hosala Parshad, Jai Kishan, Ram Chand, Geja Dhar, Ram Piara, Sohan Lal, Bandeshwari and signed by him, a perusal of which would show that there is no dispute left between the parties and Shri Sat Pal has been authorised by the remaining workmen to make a statement to this effect.

In view of the above, no further proceedings are called for in the case and a no dispute award is made as requested by the parties.

Dated, the 18th December, 1972.

O. P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 1422, dated 19th December, 1972.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial disputes Act, 1947.

Dated, the 18th December, 1972.

O. P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 13802-4 Lab-72/172.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal Haryana, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Associated Steel Industries (P) Ltd., 29-A, N.I.T., Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 13 of 1972

between

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S ASSOCIATED STEEL INDUSTRIES,  
(P) LTD., 29-A, N.I.T., FARIDABAD

Present s

None for the workmen.

Dr. D. P. Singal, for the management.

## AWARD

The following disputes between the management of M/s Associated Steel Industries (P) Ltd., 29-A, Industrial Area, Faridabad and its workmen were referred for adjudication to this Tribunal by the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Order No. ID/FD/691-B-71/5883, dated 18th February, 1972 :—

- (1) Whether the management should pay dearness allowance to the workers ? If so, from which date and with what details ?
- (2) Whether the management should supply uniforms to the workers? If so, with what details?
- (3) Whether the workers are entitled to the grant of Casual and Sick Leaves and Festival Holidays? If so, with what details ?
- (4) Whether the management should pay the overtime wages to the workers? If so, with what details ?

On receipt of the order of reference, usual notices were given to the parties and they put in their respective written statements. The management contested the demands of the workmen mainly on the ground of financial incapacity due to heavy losses from the very start of the industry in July, 1970. The workmen have not come forward to contest the above plea raised on behalf of the management and their authorised representative who gave the demand notice leading to the present reference has also not put in his appearance on the dates of hearing fixed in the case.

Statement of Shri D. P. Singal, Factory Manager, has been recorded. He has also produced the balance-sheet and profit and loss account statements ending the period 31st March, 1972 Ex. M-3 and Ex. M-4 besides the lists of holidays granted to the workmen in the year 1971-72 Ex. M-1 and Ex. M-2.

I have heard the representative of the management and considered the facts on record. A perusal of the balance-sheet and profit and loss account statements read with the deposition of the Factory Manager leaves no doubt whatever in concluding that the financial position of this establishment which has been running into losses does not permit the acceptance of the demands covered by items Nos. 1 and 2 of the references and in the absence of cogent and convincing evidence that the financial position of the establishment is sound no direction can reasonably be made with regard to the payment of dearness allowance and supply of uniforms to the workers.

As for the remaining two demands for grant of leaves and payment of overtime wages also, there is not much to discuss. The management has already granted leaves and festival holidays to the workmen as per statements Ex. M-1 and Ex. M-2 and it has not been disputed that they are entitled to over time wages if and when they are required to put in overtime work.

That disposes of the entire case and in view of my above observations the workmen are not entitled to any relief so far as the demands covered by items Nos. 1 and 2 of the reference are concerned. They would of course be entitled to get overtime wages at the prescribed rates if and when they are required to work overtime. They are also entitled to 8 festival holidays besides 3 national holidays (already granted by the management as per list filed in the case), 7 casual leaves and 14 sick leaves as per section 4 of the Punjab Industrial Establishments (National and Festival Holidays and Casual and Sick Leave) Act, 19 . The award is made accordingly. No order as to costs.

W.

Dated, the 19th December, 1972.

O.P.SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 1423, dated the 19th December, 1972. 3

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour, and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated, the 19th December, 1972.

O. P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana  
Faridabad.

No. 13803-4-Lab-72/174.—In pursuance of the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal Haryana, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Universal Refrigeration Industries, Bahadurgarh.

BEFORE SHRI O.P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA,  
FARIDABAD

Application No. 17 of 1972 under section 33-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

*Between*

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S UNIVERSAL REFRIGERATION INDUSTRIES,  
BAHADURGARH

*Present.*—Shri Onkar Parshad for the workmen.

Shri R. C. Sharma and Shri K. Kumar for the management.

AWARD

The facts relevant for the disposal of this complaint under section 33-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, may shortly be stated as under :—

Sarvshri Sant Kumar, Mohinder Singh, Hira Mani, Goona Sharma complainants are workers in the factory of M/s Universal Refrigeration Industries, Bahadurgarh, which has been in existence for a number of years. The workers had raised an industrial dispute No. 40 of 1972 for fixation of their grades and scales of pay and increase in wages which is still pending. It is common ground between the parties that the working hours in the factory have all along been from 8.30 A.M. to 5 P.M. and there has been never any shift system. The contention of the complainants is that the management had altered their working hours with effect from 4th August, 1972 without notice as contemplated under section 9-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and introduced two shifts for them from 6 A.M. to 2.30 P.M. and from 2.30 P.M. to 11 P.M. and they have been greatly prejudiced by this unauthorised action on the part of the management. It has further been alleged that the above step had been taken by the management simply to penalise them as they had formed a union of the workers and also claimed overtime allowance. With these allegations in brief they have asked for compensation on account of the loss of wages that has occurred to them consequent upon the introduction of the shift system in the factory.

Notice of the application was given to the management. It has been urged that the shift system had to be introduced in view of the 50 per cent cut in the power supply effected by the state Government and notice of the same had duly been given to the workers. It has further been urged that the power supply had since been restored by the State Government, the shift system had also been abolished and the original working hours in the factory had been restored. The following issues arose for determination.

Whether there has been a contravention of the provisions of section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 ? If so, what relief the complainant workman entitled to ?

Sarvshri Hira Mani, Sant Kumar, Mohinder Singh complainants have made their own statements and proved the notice dated 3rd August, 1972 Ex. W-1 alleged to have been displayed by the management on the notice board. The management on the other hand has examined Shri K. Kumar, Manager who has proved the notice dated 3rd July, 1972 regarding introduction of shift system in the first week of August, 1972 Ex. M-1 which was sent to the authorities concerned under postal certificate Ex M-2.

Arguments have been addressed on both sides and I have given careful consideration to the facts on record. There is no denying the fact that the State Government had ordered 50 per cent cut in power supply to the industrial establishments in the month of July, August, 1972 and it was in anticipation of such a direction from the Government that the present management had effected a change in the working hours by introducing 2 shifts and due notice in this behalf had been given vide Ex. M-1 which is of 3rd July, 1972 and the change in the working hours was to take place in the first week of August and according to the showing of the complainant themselves the shift system had been introduced with effect from 4th August, 1972. The notice Ex. M-1 was substantial compliance of the provisions of section 9-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The working hours or start or, alteration of shift being a vital condition of the services of the workmen no change in this behalf could, of course be made without complying with the requirements of section 9-A referred to above. In the instant case the shift system had to be introduced on account of the emergency created by the 50 per cent cut in the power supply. The management could not be blamed for it and it is clearly not a case of any victimisation as alleged by the complainants. By introducing the shift system the management had intended to work only one of the two lathes and thus economise the power consumption.

There is another aspect of the case which deserves consideration here. The power supply having been restored by the State Government the shift system has also been abolished and the original working hours have been restored with effect from 9th August, 1972. From the statement of the complainants, it is further clear that they had actually not reported for duty to work according to the shifts as directed by the management. In the circumstances, I do not find any contravention of the provision of section 33 of the Act nor any evidence of any victimisation on the part of the management in introducing the shift system with a view to harm the present complainants. The management had to resort to the shift system in the factory on account of an emergency created for reasons beyond their control and as soon as the emergency was over on restoration of power supply by the State Government the original working hours have been introduced in the factory.

In view of my above discussions and for the reasons aforesaid the complainants are not entitled to any further relief in the present proceedings and the complaints shall, in the result, stand dismissed. No order as to costs.

O. P. SHARMA

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 1424, dated 19th December, 1972.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Dated 19th December, 1972.

The 4th January, 1973

No. 67-4Lab-73/541.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Super Strips (India) (P) Ltd., Mathura Road, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI O.P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,  
ROHTAK

Reference No. 30 of 1972

*Between*

SHRI SAMUEL ROBERT SINGH AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/s SUPER STRIPS (INDIA)  
(P) LTD., MATHURA ROAD, FARIDAEAD.

*Present—*

Shri D.C. Bhardwaj for the management.

Nemo for the workman.

AWARD

The following dispute between the management of M/s. Super Strips (India) (P) Ltd., Mathura Road, Faridabad and its workmen Shri Samuel Robert Singh was referred to this Court for adjudication,—*vide* No. ID/FD/755-D-71/748, dated 11th January, 1972 of the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of Sub-Section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Samuel Robert Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled.

On receipt of the order of reference usual notices were given to the parties and they put in their respective written statements, the main plea taken on behalf of the management being that the concerned workman in fact abandoned service and received payment of his full dues in full and final settlement of his entire claims against the management. The concerned workman did not file any replication to deny the above plea of the management. He has not even come forward today in person or through any authorised representative to pursue his claim.

The evidence of the management has been recorded. Shri Prem Dutt, Store-Keeper-Cum-Time-Keeper in the establishment concerned has stated that as a matter of fact the concerned workman had settled his account on 21st August, 1971 and received payment of Rs. 216-70 paise in full and final settlement of his entire claims against the management,—*vide* receipt Exhibit M. W. 1/1 which is signed by him. He has further deposed that the workman has gone to Bombay where he has been gainfully employed in some other concern.

In view of the facts stated above, I am satisfied that there is now no dispute left between the workman concerned and the management who has received his full dues,—*vide* receipt Exhibit M.W. 1/1 and

i. e., who has not come forward to pursue his claims in the present reference. As no dispute award is, therefore, given. No order as to costs.

Dated the 18th December, 1972.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 3074, dated the 27th December, 1972.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated the 3rd November, 1972.

O.P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 68-4Lab-73/544.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Kalkaji Compressor Works, Prop. M/S K. G. Khosla & Co. (P) Ltd., Aurangpur, District Gurgaon.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,  
ROHTAK

Reference No. 59 of 1971

*between*

SHRI H. L. VERMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S KALKAJI COMPRESSOR WORKS  
PROP. M/S K. G. KHOSLA & CO. (P) LTD., VILLAGE AURANGPUR, DISTRICT GURGAON

*Present—*

Nemo for the workman.

Dr. Anand Parkash for the management.

#### AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/279B/18525-29 dated 16th June, 1971 of the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management of M/s Kalkaji Compressor Works Prop. M/s K. G. Khosla & Co. (P) Ltd., village Aurangpur District Gurgaon and its workman Shri H. L. Verma was referred for adjudication to this court in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of Sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri H. L. Verma was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

On receipt of the order of reference usual notices were given to the parties and they put in their respective written statements. The reference can not however, proceed since the concerned workman Shri H. L. Verma died about 1½ months back as stated by Shri K. N. Gulati, Deputy Works Manager of M/s Kalkaji Compressor Works Prop. M/s K. G. Khosla & Co. (P) Ltd. Village Aurangpur District Gurgaon on the basis of the information conveyed by the son of the workman. The notice issued to him for today has also been received back un-served with the report of the Postman that the addressee is dead. He is not represented by any union leader.

In view of the above, the reference shall stand dismissed. No order as to costs.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

The 19th December, 1972.

No. 3072, dated the 27th December, 1972.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 69-4 Lab-73/546.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Super Strips (India) (P) Ltd., Mathura Road, Faridabad :—

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,  
ROHTAK

Reference No. 23 of 1972

between

SHRI TEJ SINGH AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S SUPER STRIPS (INDIA) (P) LTD.,  
MATHURA ROAD, FARIDABAD

Present:—

Nemo, for the workman.

Shri D. C. Bhardwaj, for the management.

#### AWARD

The following dispute between the management of M/s Super Strips (India) (P) Ltd., Mathura Road, Faridabad and its workman Shri Tej Singh was referred to this court for adjudication,—*vide* order No. ID/FD/755-B/71/330, dated 5th January, 1972 of the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Tej Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

On receipt of the order of reference usual notices were given to the parties and they put in their respective written statements the main plea taken on behalf of the management being that the concerned workman in fact abandoned service and received payment of his full dues in full and final settlement of his entire claims against the management. The concerned workman did not file any replication to deny the above plea of the management. He has not even come forward today in person or through any authorised representative to pursue his claim.

The evidence of the management has been recorded. Shri Prem Dutt, Store-Keeper-cum-Time-Keeper in the establishment concerned has stated that as a matter of fact the concerned workman had settled his account on 21st August, 1971 and received payment of Rs. 307.50 in full and final settlement of his entire claims against the management,—*vide* receipt Ex. M.W. 1/1 which is signed by him. He has further deposed that the workman has gone to Bombay where he has been gainfully employed in some other concern,

In view of the facts stated above, I am satisfied that there is now no dispute left between the workman concerned and the management who has received his full dues,—*vide* receipt Ex. M.W. 1/1 and i. e. why he has not come forward to pursue his claims in the present reference. A no dispute award is, therefore, given. No order as to costs.

O. P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

Dated 18th December, 1972.

No. 3073, dated 27th December, 1972.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

S. N. BHANOT,  
Commissioner for Labour and Employment,  
and Secretary to Government, Haryana.

### DEVELOPMENT AND PANCHAYAT DEPARTMENT, HARYANA

The 18th December, 1972

No. 9213-3-ECDI-72/14186.—The following Block Development and Panchayat Officers have passed/failed in the Departmental Examination held in October, 1972, in the papers/groups as indicated against their names:—

| Serial No. | Name of the Officer     | Group I |         | Group II | Group III |
|------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|
|            |                         | Paper A | Paper B |          |           |
| 1.         | Sarvshri Raj Pal        | ..      | ..      | Fail     | ..        |
| 2.         | Sukhbir Singh           | ..      | Pass    | Pass     | ..        |
| 3.         | Brahm Datt Chopra       | Fail    | Fail    | Fail     | Fail      |
| 4.         | Ram Dev                 | ..      | ..      | Fail     | ..        |
| 5.         | Bahadur Singh Ahluwalia | Pass    | Fail    | Fail     | Fail      |
| 6.         | Ram Kumar Yadav         | ..      | Fail    | ..       | Fail      |
| 7.         | Ram Narian              | ..      | Pass    | ..       | ..        |
| 8.         | Tara Chand              | ..      | ..      | Pass     | ..        |
| 9.         | Maha Singh              | Pass    | Pass    | Fail     | Fail      |
| 10.        | Raghubir Singh          | ..      | ..      | Fail     | Fail      |
| 11.        | Yyas Chander            | ..      | ..      | Fail     | Pass      |
| 12.        | Balwan Singh Dull       | ..      | Pass    | ..       | ..        |
| 13.        | Mehar Chand Dochania    | ..      | ..      | Fail     | Fail      |
| 14.        | Sardar Singh Kharta     | ..      | ..      | Fail     | Pass      |
| 15.        | Fateh Singh             | Pass    | Pass    | Fail     | Fail      |
| 16.        | Surat Singh             | Pass    | Pass    | Pass     | Pass      |

G. L. BAILUR,  
Secretary to Government, Haryana,  
Development and Panchayat Department.

### COLONIZATION DEPARTMENT

The 29th December, 1972

No. 1904/PK.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that the land required to be taken by Government, at the public expense, for a public purpose, namely, for the development of a Mandi including Townships and Industrial area at Rattia, tehsil Fatehabad, district Hissar, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the aforesaid purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of the land acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of section 7 of the said Act, the Assistant Director Colonization Department Haryana, Chandigarh, Specifically appointed to exercise the powers of Collector under the said Act, is hereby directed to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

The plan of the land may be inspected in the office of the Director, Colonization, Haryana, Chandigarh, Kothi No. 1517, Sector 18-D.

## SPECIFICATION

| District | Tehsil    | Village            | Area   | Descriptional Rect/Killa No. |
|----------|-----------|--------------------|--|------------------------------|
| Hissar   | Fatehabad | Rattia<br>H.B. 162 | Rect. No. 201/18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23<br>Rect. No. 202/16, 17, 18/1, 18/2, 19/1, 19/2,<br>20, 23, 24, 25/1, 25/2, 25/3.<br>Rect. No. 229/15/1 min South East (2—18).<br>15/2, 15/3, 16/1, 16/2, 17, 24/1/1,<br>24/1/2, 24/2, 25/1/1, 25/1/2, 25/2<br>Rect. No. 230/2/1/1, 2/1/2, 2/2, 2/3, 2/4, 3/1, 3/2,<br>4, 5/1, 5/2, 6, 7, 8, 9/1, 9/2, 11,<br>12, 13, 14, 15, 16/1, 16/2, 17, 18<br>19, 20/1, 20/2, 21, 22, 23/1, 23/2<br>24, 25.<br>Rect. No. 231/1/1, 1/2, 2/1, 2/2, 3/1, 3/2, 8,<br>9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 18, 19/1, 19/2,<br>20, 21, 22, 23/1, 23/2.<br>Rect. No. 249/1, 2/1, 2/2, 3.<br>Rect. No. 250/1, 2/1, 2/2, 3, 4, 5.<br>Rect. No. 251/4/1, 4/2, 4/3, 4/4/1, 4/5, 4/6, 5,<br>4/4/2.<br>436 Min East (3—11), 626, 627/1<br>Min, East (1—7) 627/2 Min<br>East (1—8), 712/1, 712/2, 713,<br>714/2, 1736 Min, South (8—1),<br>1740 Min South (1—3), 1741.<br>1854 Min South (2—3). |                              |

A.K.M.

69—5—12.

No. 1909/PK.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that land required to be taken by Government at public expense for public purpose, namely, for the development of a Mandi Township at village Ballabgarh and Ranhera, Tehsil Ballabgarh, District Gurgaon it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the aforesaid purpose.

This declaration is made under the provision of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern and under the provision of section 7 of the said Act, the Assistant Director Colonization Department, Haryana, Chandigarh specifically appointed to exercise the powers of Collector under the said Act, is hereby directed to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

The plan of the land may be inspected in the office of the Director, Colonization Department, Haryana, Chandigarh, Kothi No. 1517, Sector 18-D, Chandigarh.

## SPECIFICATION

| District | Tehsil     | Village<br>H. B. No. | Area                  | Description of Khasra Numbers   |
|----------|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Gurgaon  | Ballabgarh | Ballabgarh<br>78     | A. K. M.<br>10. 4. 0. | 78<br>1 min West (0-1), 10 min-West (1-19)<br>78<br>11/1 min West(2-13), 20/2(2-13)min West |

| District | Tehsil      | Village<br>H. B. No.    | Area   | Description of Khasra Numbers |
|----------|-------------|-------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Gurgaon  | Ballabhgarh | Ballabhgarh<br>78       | A.K.M.<br>10-4-0                                 | 78                            |
|          |             |                         | 21/1 min West (2-13)<br>79                       |                               |
|          |             |                         | 6, 15, 16, 24, 25.<br>80                         |                               |
|          |             |                         | 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 13, 14, 15<br>81                  |                               |
|          |             |                         | 1 min West(2-13), 10 Min West (2-13),<br>81      |                               |
|          |             |                         | 11 min West (2-13).                              |                               |
| Gurgaon  | Ballabhgarh | Ranhera<br>H. B. No. 77 | Total<br>10-4-0                                  | 14                            |
|          |             |                         | 1 min West (2-12), 10 Min West (0-14)<br>15      |                               |
|          |             |                         | 2, 3/1, 3/2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12/1, 12/2,<br>15 |                               |
|          |             |                         | 13/1, 13/2, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21/2,<br>15  |                               |
|          |             |                         | 22, 23, 24.<br>24                                |                               |
|          |             |                         | 1/2, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 18,<br>24 |                               |
|          |             |                         | 19, 20.  |                               |

The 1st January, 1973

No. 7/PK.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land is likely to be required to be taken by Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, namely, constructing of Disposal works for Mandi Kaithal at Pattichoudry, tehsil Kaithal, district Karnal, it is hereby notified that land in the locality described below is likely to be required for the above purpose.

This notification is made under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to authorise the Officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking, with their servants and workmen, to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality, may within thirty days of the publication of this notification file an objection in writing before the Assistant Director, Colonization Department, Exercising the power of Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, Kothi No. 1517, Sector 18-D, Chandigarh.

## SPECIFICATION

| District | Tehsil  | Locality (Village or<br>Revenue Estate) | Area              | Field Nos.<br>Rectangle/Killa No.           |
|----------|---------|---|-------------------|---|
| Karnal   | Kaithal | Pattichoudry<br>Kaithal                 | A. K. M.<br>0-9-4 | 13  |
|          |         |   |                   | 2/1 Min South (0-7)<br>2/2 Min South (2-11) |
|          |         |   | Total area 0-9-4  | 9/1, 9/2.                                   |